

## **ANALYSIS OF DOPAMINE RECEPTOR D2 PROTEIN DOMAIN (7TM\_1) IN DIFFERENT ORGANISMS**

S. S. Gawande, M.R. Mangulkar, S. S. Borde, P.S. Deshmukh

MGM'S College of CS & IT, Department of Biotechnology & Bioinformatics MGM Campus,  
Near Air port Nanded-431605.

### **ABSTRACT:-**

We have developed a novel approach to Analysis of “Dopamine receptor D2 Protein” domain organization, to survey and compare protein domain organizations of different organisms. Here we used to analyze and compared 19 different organisms dopamine receptor D2 proteins. Domain fusion/shuffling is one of the most important events in the evolution of modern proteins. The multiple sequence alignment has been done by using clustalW of EBI. The majority of proteins, especially in high organisms, are built from multiple domains (modules) that can be found in various contexts in different proteins. Such domains usually form stable three-dimensional structures even if excised from a complete protein, and perform the same or similar molecular functions as parts of the protein. Databases of domains and associated tools for efficient recognition of domains in new proteins have been developed, including Pfam, SMART, PRODOM, CDD, INTERPRO, DALI (Holm and Sander 1998), and SCOP.

**Keywords:** - dopamine, domains, shuffling, pfam, prodom, interpro

### **Introduction:-**

In the light of structural and biochemical evidence which has accumulated over recent years it has become increasingly clear that the traditional view that 'polypeptide = protein' is inadequate to describe some naturally occurring polypeptides. In particular, it can be shown that different regions along a single polypeptide chain can act as independent units, to the extent that they can be excised from the chain, and still be shown to fold correctly, and often still exhibit biological activity. These independent regions

are termed domain. Domains were originally identified as discontinuous regions within a sequence which had identity to different proteins, and this is the property which is most often used to identify them. The original reason that domains were identified was because of unusual results obtained from pairwise alignments of sequences. Domains sometimes act completely independently of each other, as in the case of a catalytic domain and a binding domain, where the two domains don't interact with each other, but their association is synergistically because the linker

between them means that the catalytic domain is kept in close contact to its substrate. In other cases structural interactions between domains do occur (indeed there have been structures solved for multi-domain complexes) [1] On a more practical level, another property of domains is that they are regions which are usually conserved during recombination events [2]. Because they can have their own functionality then domain "shuffling" is a common occurrence, leading to the sort of mixed organization A domain could therefore be defined as the part of a protein sequence which is able to have its structure solved by crystallography [3] This definition can be at odds with the assertion that a domain should have its own hydrophobic core, since a single structure may have two or more hydrophobic cores, but it nonetheless provides a good practical domain definition. A domain could therefore be defined as the part of a protein sequence which is able to have its structure solved by crystallography. This definition can be at odds with the assertion that a domain should have its own hydrophobic core, since a single structure may have two or more hydrophobic cores, but it nonetheless provides a good practical domain definition [4]

Here we have used to analyze and compared 19 different organisms dopamine receptor D2 proteins.

Domain fusion/shuffling is one of the most important events in the evolution of modern proteins

Databases of domains and associated tools for efficient recognition of domains in new proteins have been developed, including Pfam, SMART, PRODOM, CDD, INTERPRO, DALI, DALI, and SCOP.

#### **Materials and Methods:-**

The sequences were taken from NCBI.

Domains are predicted by using Pfam search tool.

We focused on 7tm\_1 Domain, which is present in all proteins.

The 7tm\_1 domain is predicted by taking E-value as an account

#### **7 transmembrane receptor (rhodopsin family)**

This family contains, amongst other G-protein-coupled receptors (GCPRs), members of the opsin family, which have been considered to be typical members of the rhodopsin superfamily. They share several motifs, mainly the seven transmembrane helices, GCPRs of the rhodopsin superfamily. All opsins bind a chromophore, such as 11-cis-retinal.

The resulting domains positions are mapped in the proteins and their distances and differences are calculated. The results are plotted in the graph which you can refer in the next chapter. To map the conserved regions in the 7tm\_1 domain we aligned all the sequences and

manually searched for conserved regions.

**RESULT:-**

The following results shows the domain start position and end

position in the sequences by using Pfam

Sequence ID:

	Start Position	End Position		
1.	gi 119587629 gb EAW67225.1		83	458
2.	gi 76677939 ref NP_001029100.1		51	426
3.	gi 50978810 ref NP_001003110.1		51	426
4.	gi 148747212 ref NP_034207.2		51	427
5.	gi 27806647 ref NP_776468.1		51	427
6.	gi 75071920 sp Q6TLI9.1 DRD2_MUSPF		51	426
7.	gi 11344842 gb AAG34497.1		51	397
8.	gi 56122242 gb AAV74272.1		41	369
9.	gi 164518970 ref NP_001106761.1		48	420
10.	gi 156119435 ref NP_001095212.1		45	425
11.	gi 10719976 sp O73810.1 DRD2_MELGA		48	419
12.	gi 52840165 gb AAU87971.1		49	451
13.	gi 37497114 ref NP_922918.1		49	435
14.	gi 52840163 gb AAU87970.1		49	451
15.	gi 16151544 emb CAC87873.1		49	444
16.	gi 16151546 emb CAC79663.1		49	447
17.	gi 2340863 emb CAA74976.1		1	357
18.	gi 157091240 gb ABV21761.1		1	310



LQTTTNYLIVSLAVADLLVATLVM  
 PWVVYLEVVGGEWKFSRIHCDIFVT  
 LDVMMCTASILNLCAISIDRYTAVA  
 MPMLYNTRYSSKRRVTVMISI  
 VWVLSFTISCPLLFGLNADQNECII  
 ANPAFVVYSSIVSFYVPFIVTLLVYI  
 KIYIVLRRRRKR VNTKRSSRAFRAH  
 LRAPLKGNCNTHPEDMKLCTVIMKS  
 NGSFPVNRRRVEAARRAQELEM  
 LSSTSPPERTRYSPIPSHHQLTLPD  
 PSHHGLHSTPDSPAKPEKNGHAKD  
 HPKIAKIFEIQTMPNGKTRTSLKTM  
 SRRKLSQQEKKATQMLAIVLGVFI  
 ICWLPPFFITHILNIHCDCNIPPVLYSA  
 FTWLG YVNSAVNPIIYTTFNIEFRK  
 AFLKILHC

2>gi|76677939|ref|NP\_001029100.1|  
 dopamine receptor D2 [Pan  
 troglodytes]

MDPLNLSWYDDDLERQNWSRPFN  
 GSDGKADRPHYNYATLLTLLIAVI  
 VFGNVLVCMAVSREKALQTTTNYL  
 IVSLAVADLLVATL VMPWVVYLEV  
 VGEWKFSRIHCDIFVTLDVMMCTA  
 SILNLCAISIDRYTAVAMPMLYNTR  
 YSSKRRVTVMISIVWVLSFTISCPL  
 FGLNADQNECIANPAFVVYSSIV  
 SFYVPFIVTLLVYIKIYIVLRRRRKR  
 VNTKRSSRAFRAHLRAPLKGNCNTH  
 PEDMKLCTVIMRSNGSFPVNRRRV  
 EAARRAQELEMMLSSSTSPPERTR  
 YSPIPSHHQLTLPDPSHHGLHSTPD  
 SPAKPEKNGHAKDHPKIAKIFEIQT  
 MPNGKTRTSLKTMSRRKLSQQEK  
 KATQMLAIVLG VFIICWLPPFFITHIL  
 NIHCDCNIPPVLYSAFTWLG YVNSA  
 VNPIIYTTFNIEFRKAFLKILHC

3>gi|50978810|ref|NP\_001003110.1|  
 dopamine receptor D2 [Canis lupus  
 familiaris]

MDPLNLSWYDDDLESQNWSRPFN  
 GSEGKPGKPHYNYAMLLTLLIFII  
 VFGNVLVCMAVSREKALQTTTNYL  
 IVSLAVADLLVATL VMPWVVYLEV  
 VGEWKFSRIHCDIFVTLDVMMCTA  
 SILNLCAISIDRYTAVAMPMLYNTR  
 YSSKRRVTVMIAIVWVLSFTISCPL  
 LFGLNNTDQNECIANPAFVVYSSI  
 VSFYVPFIVTLLVYIKIYIVLRRRRKR  
 RVNTERSSRAFRANLKPAPLKGNCN  
 HPEDMKLCTVIMKSNGSFPVNRRR  
 VEAARRAQELEMMLSSSTSPPERT  
 RYSPIPSHHQLTLPDPSHHGLHST  
 ADSPAKPEKNGHAKDHPKIAKIFEI  
 QSMPNGKTRTSLKTMSRRKLSQQEK  
 KATQMLAIVLG VFIICWLPPFFITH  
 ILNIHCECNIPPVLYSAFTWLG YVN  
 SAVNPIIYTTFNIEFRKAFLKILHC

4>gi|148747212|ref|NP\_034207.2|  
 dopamine receptor 2 [Mus musculus]

MDPLNLSWYDDDLERQNWSRPFN  
 GSEGKPD RPHYNYAMLLTLLIFII  
 VFGNVLVCMAVSREKALQTTTNYL  
 IVSLAVADLLVATL VMPWVVYLEV  
 VGEWKFSRIHCDIFVTLDVMMCTA  
 SILNLCAISIDRYTAVAMPMLYNTR  
 YSSKRRVTVMIAIVWVLSFTISCPL  
 LFGLNNTDQNECIANPAFVVYSSI  
 VSFYVPFIVTLLVYIKIYIVLRKRRK  
 RVNTERSSRAFRANLKTPLKGNCN  
 HPEDMKLCTVIMKSNGSFPVNRRR  
 MDAARRAQELEMMLSSSTSPPERT  
 RYSPIPSHHQLTLPDPSHHGLHSNP  
 DSPAKPEKNGHAKIVNPRIAKFFEI

QTMPNGKTRTSLKTMSRRKLSQQK  
 EKKATQMLAIVLGVFIICWLPPFFITH  
 ILNIHDCNIPPVLYSAFTWLGYYVN  
 SAVNPIIYTTFNIEFRKAFMKILHC  
 5>gi|27806647|ref|NP\_776468.1|  
 dopamine receptor D2 [Bos taurus]  
 MDPLNLSWYDDDPESRNWSRPFNG  
 SEGKADRPPYNYAYMLLTLIFVIV  
 FGNVLVCMAVSREKALQTTTNYLI  
 VSLAVADLLVATLVMPWVVYLEV  
 VGEWKFSRIHCDIFVTLDVMMCTA  
 SILNLCAISIDRYTAVAMPMLYNTR  
 YSSKRRVTVMIAIVWVLSFTISCPM  
 LFGLNNTDQNECIANPAFVVYSSI  
 VSFYVPFIVTLLVYIKIYIVLRRRRK  
 RVNTRKSSRAFRANLKAPLKGNCT  
 HPEDMKLCTVIMKSNGSFPVNRRR  
 VEAARRAQELEMELMSSTSPPERT  
 RYSPIPPSHHQLTLPDPSHHGLHSTP  
 DSPAKPEKNGHAKTVNPKIAKIFEI  
 QSMPNGKTRTSLKTMSRRKLSQQK  
 EKKATQMLAIVLGVFIICWLPPFFITH  
 ILNIHDCNIPPVLYSAFTWLGYYVN  
 SAVNPIIYTTFNIEFRKAFLKILHC

**Conclusion:-**

Once we look in to the conserved regions of the protein, two conserved regions are found after performing the multiple sequence alignment. The conserved regions are starting from sequence position 60 to 154 and 298 to 414. These regions are within the 7tm\_1 domain. In this way by analyzing transmembrane domain we have developed a novel approach to Analysis of “Dopamine receptor D2 Protein” Domain Organization, to

survey and compare protein domain organizations of different organisms.

**References:**

1. Chen-Hsiang Yeang and David Haussler PLoS Comput Biol. 2007 November; 3(11): e211
2. Elon Portugaly, Nathan Linial, and Michal Linial Nucleic Acids Res. 2007 January; 35(Database issue): D241–D246
3. Volker Sievert, Martina Leidert, Brigitte Schlegel, Bernd Simon, Ivica Letunic, Konrad Büsow, and Anne Diehl BMC Struct Biol. 2004; 4: 4
4. Analysis of Critical Residues Haibo Yu, Liang Ma, Yang Yang, and Qiang Cui PLoS Comput Biol. 2007 February; 3(2): e23

**Web References:-**

- 1) [www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw)
- 2) [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)
- 3) [http:// Pfam.org](http://Pfam.org)